

# Academic Promotion - Procedures

## 1. Purpose of procedures

1.1 These procedures outline the process for annual promotion rounds and make clear the requirements of Senior Officers of the University to ensure administrative responsibilities are fulfilled and policy principles are implemented effectively.

1.2 These procedures must be read in conjunction with the linked Academic Promotion – Operational Policy.

## 2. Scope and application

2.1 These procedures apply to full-time and fractional academic staff.

## 3. Definitions

3.1 Refer to the University's Glossary of Terms for definitions of terms as they specifically relate to policy documents.

## 4. Preparing for a promotion application

4.1 People and Culture can coordinate information sessions for prospective applicants, held around the time of the call for applications.

4.2 There is no set formula for success in promotion. Committees judge each application on its merits, weighing up the mix of achievements and evidence each applicant puts forward, as set out in the Academic Performance Standards, and the coherent case each applicant makes based on this evidence.

4.3 The time-frame that will be considered in promotion applications are:

- (a) the last five years; or
- (b) since commencing at the University, when employed for less than five years; or
- (c) since last promotion, when promotion occurred within the last five years.

The exception to this is for Level E applications, where the entire career is considered (with a focus on the last five years).

## 5. Call for applications

5.1 On behalf of the Vice-Chancellor and President, the Director, People and Culture calls for applications each year.

5.2 Within ten working days of the call for applications, prospective applicants who are considering applying for promotion must:

- (a) advise their Cost Centre Manager via email of their intention to apply and provide a completed summary sheet (the first two pages of the Academic Promotion Application Form).
- (b) when the prospective applicant is a Dean, they advise the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic), via email, of their intention to apply and provide a completed summary sheet (the first two pages of the Academic Promotion Application Form).
- (c) when the prospective applicant is a Director of a UniSC Research Centre/Institute, they advise the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation), via email, of their intention to apply and provide a completed summary sheet (the first two pages of the Academic Promotion Application Form).
- (d) the Cost Centre Manager must provide a response via email within five days of receipt.

5.3 Within ten working days of notification of intent to apply from prospective applicants, the Cost Centre Manager speaks with each prospective applicant to discuss their intention to apply and provides advice about the content of the summary of their case for promotion. This can include recommending not applying, or postponing applying if the Cost Centre Manager deems it to be in the best interests of the prospective applicant because the case is not likely to substantiate the performance and achievement required for promotion.

### APPROVAL AUTHORITY

Vice-Chancellor and President

### RESPONSIBLE EXECUTIVE MEMBER

Vice-Chancellor and President

### DESIGNATED OFFICER

Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)

### FIRST APPROVED

19 June 2012

### LAST AMENDED

14 May 2024

### REVIEW DATE

14 May 2026

### STATUS

Active

## 6. Applications

6.1 All applications for academic promotion must be on the official Academic Promotion Application Form.

## 7. Submission of applications

7.1 Applications must be submitted by email to [promotion@usc.edu.au](mailto:promotion@usc.edu.au) by 5.00 pm AEST on the closing date, which is specified in the call for applications. Any late applications are not accepted.

7.2 When an application is received from an applicant who failed to advise of their intention to apply, the application is deemed ineligible for consideration by the relevant Promotions Committee.

7.3 People and Culture forwards each application via email to the relevant Cost Centre Manager.

7.4 The Cost Centre Manager has ten working days from the closing date to submit via email to People and Culture ([promotion@usc.edu.au](mailto:promotion@usc.edu.au)) the following:

(a) completed signature section in Part Two, Section 3 of the application form; and

(b) for applications to Levels D and E, the name and contact details of at least two recommended independent external assessors with a brief statement of why the person has been chosen and an indication of their standing within the discipline or field.

7.5 When the Cost Centre Manager is of the view that an application does not present a persuasive case and provide clear evidence of sustained academic performance and achievement at a higher level than that to which an applicant is currently appointed, the Cost Centre Manager can counsel an applicant to withdraw their application. An applicant can choose whether or not to heed the counsel.

## 8. Receipt of applications by People and Culture

8.1 People and Culture acknowledges receipt of each application in writing to the applicant.

## 9. Information to supplement applications for promotion

### 9.1 Independent external assessments

9.1.1 Independent External Assessments are sought for applications to Levels D and E. An independent assessor must be a full Professor who is an internationally recognised expert within the applicant's discipline/field. An assessor does not act as a referee, but as an eminent expert who is invited to offer a balanced and confidential assessment of the merits of the application and an indication of the applicant's academic standing, impact and influence. The independence of the assessor to the applicant is critical to ensure an objective assessment.

### 9.2 Research performance data

9.2.1 For each application, the committee members access applicant's individual research data online.

9.2.2 The research data includes information about each applicant's publications, grants and Higher Degree by Research supervisions and completions at the University.

9.2.3 Applicants to Level E need to provide their own information of income, supervision and publication from previous positions at other institutions. This must be provided in the CV and referred to in the application. It is important that applicants distinguish the income they received from externally funded grants and also whether a higher degree student was supervised by the applicant as a co-supervisor or primary supervisor.

### 9.3 Referee reports

9.3.1 Applicants are responsible for distributing their complete applications to their nominated referees along with the last page of the Promotion Application Form which contains information for referees and the Referee Report Template. The referee will then send on, as directed in the application form, their confidential written referee report directly to People and Culture by the due date.

9.3.2 For applications to Level B, referees are not required. For applications to Level C, two (2) referees are required, at least one (1) of which must be external to the University and to Level D and Level E, two (2) referees are required, both of which must be external to the University.

9.3.3 Referees are considered external to the University when they have not been a staff member or adjunct staff member of the University within the five years before the year of the promotion application. Otherwise, they are considered an internal referee.

9.3.4 A Cost Centre Manager cannot act as a referee for an applicant within their work area.

## 9.4 Presentations

9.4.1 Applicants to Level E must deliver a ten-minute presentation to the Professorial Promotion Committee followed by a question-and-answer session.

9.4.2 Applicants are advised of the promotion presentation schedule. Presentations occur in November and applicants should make themselves available at the time they are scheduled.

## 9.5 Supervisor Checklist

9.5.1 Applicants must provide their full application form to their supervisor with sufficient time to allow the supervisor to complete the Supervisor Checklist and return it to the applicant prior to the closing date of submission of applications.

9.5.2 The applicant must submit the Supervisor Checklist with the promotion application form by the closing date.

9.5.3 When there has been a recent change to a supervisor, the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) can assign an alternate supervisor, in consultation with the applicant.

## 10. Supervisor role

10.1 The supervisor plays a key role in all stages of an applicant's promotion application, particularly in assisting and advising applicants in the preparation of their application.

10.2 An applicant's supervisor, in consultation with the supervisor's direct line manager when required, play a strong role in providing advice and comment on the quality of the application and whether the application builds a compelling case for promotion. The supervisor should use the summary section of the promotion application form to assist in assessing the strength of the case for promotion and for guiding discussions with the applicant.

10.3 The supervisor is involved in the provision of feedback to both successful and unsuccessful applicants and in assisting unsuccessful applicants to implement any actions from the feedback and planning for professional development.

## 11. Promotions Committees

11.1 The role of the Promotions Committees is to evaluate applications and determine whether each applicant has demonstrated sustained academic performance and achievement commensurate with the level to which they are applying to be promoted.

11.2 Two Committees are constituted to consider applications from eligible staff. When constituting the Committees, gender and diversity balance is taken into consideration.

### 11.3 Academic Promotions Committee

11.3.1 The Academic Promotions Committee evaluates applications to Level B and Level C and makes recommendations to the Vice-Chancellor and President via the Chairperson. The Academic Promotions Committee comprises:

- (a) Deputy Vice-Chancellor, Academic (as Chairperson);
- (b) Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) or nominee agreed to by the Chairperson;
- (c) Pro Vice-Chancellor (Learning and Teaching Futures) or nominee agreed to by the Chairperson;
- (d) at least two Deans/Research Centre Directors nominated by the Chairperson.

### 11.4 Professorial Promotions Committee

11.4.1 The Professorial Promotions Committee evaluates applications to Levels D and E. The Professorial Promotions Committee comprises:

- (a) Vice-Chancellor and President or nominee (as Chairperson);
- (b) Deputy Vice-Chancellor, Academic or nominee agreed by the Chairperson;
- (c) Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) or nominee agreed to by the Chairperson;
- (d) Pro Vice-Chancellor (Learning and Teaching Futures) or nominee agreed to by the Chairperson;
- (e) two external Professors. The Chairperson can select and invite prospective external Professors to join the Committee.

11.5 The Chairperson can invite other suitably qualified people to join either Committee.

11.6 The Director, People and Culture allocates appropriate administrative support and procedural advice to both Committees.

11.7 Members of the relevant Promotions Committee are provided with:

- (a) the documentation provided by each applicant;
- (b) access to each applicant's research data via the online *My Research* BI report;
- (c) access to sources of teaching data referred to by the applicant in their application, where this is held centrally by the University;
- (d) confidential written referee reports for applicants from the referees nominated by the applicant;
- (e) for applications to Levels D and E, assessment from independent external assessors;
- (f) any other relevant information.

11.8 A member of a Promotions Committee cannot introduce new information to the process.

11.9 Any actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest of a Promotions Committee member in relation to an applicant must be declared to the Chairperson of the Promotions Committee at the beginning of the Committee meeting. The Chairperson of the Promotions Committee decides if any action is necessary. Action can include exclusion of the member from the meeting during consideration of that application, or it can be determined that the Promotions Committee member can participate but they cannot advocate for an applicant.

11.10 Other than for feedback given to an applicant, all documents and discussions relating to promotion applications are to be treated with the strictest confidence by all participants in, and observers of, the promotion process. Members of Promotions Committees and observers are not to discuss applications, advice, recommendations or deliberations outside Committee meetings.

11.11 The notes of meetings of the Promotions Committees are confidential and the only record of the Committees' deliberations. A summary of the reasons for recommending or not recommending each applicant is recorded.

## 12. Evaluation of applications

12.1 Members of the Promotions Committees evaluate applications to determine whether or not each applicant has demonstrated sustained performance and achievement commensurate with the level to which they are applying.

12.2 The academic performance and achievements of each applicant, as presented in the application, are evaluated in relation to the norms that prevail in the applicant's particular discipline or field, and relative to opportunity.

12.3 The University's wellbeing and reputation depends on a wide and varied range of academic contributions. Thus, when considering a case for promotion, it is recognised that:

- (a) academic staff work in a variety of disciplines that have differing expectations and norms;
- (b) workload allocations for academic staff vary, particularly in relation to the proportion of time assigned to undertake teaching, research and engagement;
- (c) the focus of an academic staff member's work and the balance between the three areas of performance can change throughout their career; and
- (d) staff have diverse responsibilities and varying opportunities to engage in the full range of academic activities and service to the University.

12.4 It is recognised that specialisation in one area of activity may occur. Where this is the case, the expectation is that there will be emphasis on the designated areas of specialisation, however, evidence of accomplishment in the other areas where workload has been allocated over the period considered for application would still be expected in accordance with the Academic Performance Standards. For example, a 20-40% work allocation in an area of activity represents a significant investment of time and therefore the application should reflect that significance.

12.5 The University uses the Academic Position Classification Guidelines (PCGs), which are generic statements, to describe the broad categories of responsibilities of academic staff at different levels.

12.6 The work of academic staff is such that the levels of appointment share common tasks. The University has identified distinctions between academic levels in the activities, outcomes, quality, influence and impact of teaching, research and engagement. To clarify these distinctions, Academic Performance Standards have been developed to complement the PCGs.

## 13. Academic performance standards

13.1 In order to evaluate applications objectively, fairly and rigorously, members of Promotions Committees need to be clear about the differences between the academic levels. To achieve this the Academic Position Classification Guidelines (PCGs) for the academic

levels A to E, in conjunction with the Academic Performance Standards, are to be used by Promotions Committees to determine recommendations to promote.

## 14. Recommendations and decisions to promote

14.1 On behalf of the Academic Promotions Committee, the Chairperson makes recommendations to promote to the Vice-Chancellor and President.

14.2 The Vice-Chancellor and President can seek advice or clarification from the Chairperson of the Academic Promotions Committee on any recommendation.

14.3 The Vice-Chancellor and President can approve or not approve any recommendation to promote.

14.4 The Vice-Chancellor and President's decisions are final.

14.5 Each applicant is advised in writing whether or not their application has been successful. Feedback will be given verbally if requested by the applicant (see Section 15.2).

14.6 The Vice-Chancellor and President reports all promotions to Council.

14.7 After the promotion round is complete, the Vice-Chancellor and President announces promotions to the University community.

## 15. Unsuccessful applications

### 15.1 Re-application timeframe

15.1.1 When an application is deemed unsuccessful, the applicant will be ineligible to apply in the year following the unsuccessful application.

15.1.2 In exceptional circumstances and with the support of the relevant Cost Centre Manager, the Chairperson of the relevant Promotions Committee can approve a staff member, to re-apply in the year following an unsuccessful application.

### 15.2 Feedback to applicants

15.2.1 To assist with subsequent applications and future development, each unsuccessful applicant can request feedback on their application, and this is given by meeting with the Chairperson of the Promotions Committee or their nominee, and their Cost Centre Manager to discuss their application. Successful applicants are also encouraged to request feedback on their application to guide their career trajectory.

## 16. Implementation

16.1 For successful applicants, promotion to the next level will apply from 1 January in the year following the call for applications. Successful applicants will be appointed at Step 1 of the salary classification to which they are promoted.

## 17. Authorities and responsibilities

17.1 The Vice-Chancellor and President is authorised to make these procedures and related guidelines for the operation of University Policy. These procedures and related guidelines must be compatible with the provisions of the Academic Promotion - Operational Policy.

9.2 The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) is authorised to make associated documents to support the application of policy documents. These must be compatible with the provisions of the respective policy document.

9.3 This policy operates from the Last Amended date, all previous policies related to academic promotions are replaced and have no further operation from this date.

9.4 All records relating to the academic promotions must be stored and managed in accordance with the Information Management – Governing Policy.

9.5 This policy must be maintained in accordance with the Policy Framework – Procedures and reviewed on the shortened two year policy review cycle.

9.6 Any exception to this policy to enable a more appropriate result must be approved in accordance with the Policy Framework – Procedures prior to the deviation of the policy document.

9.7 Refer to Schedule C of the Delegations Manual in relation to the approved delegations detailed within this policy document.

END

---

#### RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Academic Position Classification - Guidelines
- Academic Promotion - Operational Policy
- Anti-Discrimination and Freedom from Bullying and Harassment - Operational Policy
- Equity, Diversity and Inclusion - Operational Policy
- Performance Management - Operational Policy
- Performance, Planning and Review (PPR) - Procedures
- Probation for Academic Staff - Procedures
- Student Evaluation of Courses and Teaching - Academic Policy

#### LINKED DOCUMENTS

- Academic Promotion - Operational Policy

#### SUPERSEDED DOCUMENTS

- Academic Promotion to Levels C, D and E - Procedures
- Academic Promotion to Level B - Procedures